AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 27, 2013

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 18, 2013

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 23, 2013

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 9, 2013

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 1, 2013

SENATE BILL

No. 620

Introduced by Senator Wright

February 22, 2013

An act to amend Sections 60290, 60336, and 60339 of the Water Code, relating to water.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 620, as amended, Wright. Water replenishment districts.

(1) Existing law, the Water Replenishment District Act, provides for the formation of water replenishment districts and grants authority to a water replenishment district relating to the replenishment, protection, and preservation of groundwater supplies within that district. The act generally authorizes a water replenishment district to establish an annual reserve fund in an amount not to exceed \$10,000,000 commencing with the 2000–01 fiscal year, and thereafter, as that amount is adjusted annually. The act requires a minimum of 80% of the reserve fund to be expended for water purchases.

This bill, until the 2019–20 fiscal year, would eliminate the requirement that a minimum of 80% of the reserve fund be expended for water purchases. The bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to provide the Water Replenishment District of Southern California with the ability to determine the appropriate use of moneys held in its

SB 620 — 2 —

annual reserve fund, and that public records shall help the Legislature determine whether the flexibility provided by this act should be permanently extended beyond the 2019–20 fiscal year.

(2) The act provides that any operator of a water-producing facility that knowingly fails to register his or her water-producing facility or knowingly fails to file a groundwater production statement, or any other reports or statements required, as specified, in addition to interest due, as prescribed, is liable to the district for a penalty of \$150.

This bill would provide that the operator is liable to the district for a penalty of \$1,000. The bill would delay the application of the increase in the amount of that penalty to an operator of a water-producing facility that is a party to certain litigation-cases involving a water replenishment district until after the litigation is settled or all legal remedies-are have been exhausted.

(3) The act authorizes the superior court of the county in which the major portion of the district lies to issue a temporary restraining order upon the filing by the district with the court of a verified petition or complaint setting forth that the defendant is the operator of a water-producing facility that has not been registered with the district or that the defendant is delinquent in the payment of a replenishment assessment, as specified.

This bill would require the court to direct that the party prevailing on any motion, as prescribed, be awarded the reasonable attorney's fees and costs of making or opposing the motion unless the court finds that the party acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of attorney's fees and costs unjust. The bill would also delay the application of those provisions to an operator of a water-producing facility that is a party to the above-described litigation eases, as specified above.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 60290 of the Water Code is amended to read:
- 3 60290. The district may establish an annual reserve fund in an
- 4 amount not to exceed ten million dollars (\$10,000,000)
- 5 commencing with the 2000-01 fiscal year. The maximum
- 6 allowable reserve fund may be adjusted annually commencing

3 SB 620

with the 2001–02 fiscal year to reflect percentage increases or decreases in the blended cost of water from district supply sources. Beginning in the 2019–20 fiscal year, a minimum of 80 percent of the reserve shall be for water purchases.

- SEC. 2. Section 60336 of the Water Code is amended to read: 60336. (a) Should any operator of a water-producing facility knowingly fail to register his or her water-producing facility or knowingly fail to file the groundwater production statement, or knowingly fail to file and furnish any other reports or statements required by resolution of the board adopted pursuant to Section 60326, the operator shall, in addition to interest as provided in Section 60335, become liable to the district for a penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000).
- (b) The changes made to this section by the act adding this subdivision shall not apply to either of the following at the time the act adding this subdivision takes effect until after the litigation is settled or all legal remedies have been exhausted:
- (1) An operator of a water-producing facility that is a plaintiff in City of Cerritos, et al. v. Water Replenishment District of Southern California, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 128136.
- (2) An operator of a water-producing facility that is a plaintiff in Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company v. Water Replenishment District of Southern California, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 134239. any operator of a water-producing facility that is a party to litigation involving a water replenishment district filed before July 1, 2013, until after the litigation is settled or all legal remedies have been exhausted.
- SEC. 3. Section 60339 of the Water Code is amended to read: 60339. (a) The superior court of the county in which the major portion of the district lies may issue a temporary restraining order upon the filing by the district with the court of a verified petition or complaint setting forth that the person named therein as defendant is the operator of a water-producing facility which has not been registered with the district or that the defendant is delinquent in the payment of a replenishment assessment. The temporary restraining order shall be returnable to the court on or before 10 days after its issuance.
- (b) The court may issue and grant an injunction restraining and prohibiting the named defendant from the operation of any

—4— **SB 620**

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

water-producing facility when it is established by the preponderance of the evidence at a hearing that the defendant has 3 failed to register the water-producing facility with the district or 4 that the defendant is delinquent in the payment of a replenishment 5 assessment. The court may provide that the injunction so made 6 and issued shall be stayed for a period not to exceed 10 days to permit the defendant to register the water-producing facility or to pay the delinquent replenishment assessment.

- (c) Service of process shall be made by posting a copy of the summons and complaint upon the water-producing facility or the parcel of land upon which the water-producing facility is located and by personal service of summons and complaint upon the named defendant.
- (d) The right to proceed for injunctive relief as provided in this section shall be in addition to any other right which may be provided elsewhere in this act or which may be otherwise allowed by law. The procedure provided in Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 525) of Title 7 of Part 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure regarding injunctions shall be followed except insofar as it may be otherwise provided in this section.
- (e) The court shall direct that the party prevailing on any motion under this section be awarded the reasonable attorney's fees and costs of making or opposing the motion unless the court finds that the other party acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of attorney's fees and costs
- (f) The changes made to this section by the act adding this subdivision shall not apply to either of the following at the time the act adding this subdivision takes effect until after the litigation is settled or all legal remedies have been exhausted:
- (1) An operator of a water-producing facility that is a plaintiff in City of Cerritos, et al. v. Water Replenishment District of Southern California, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 128136.
- (2) An operator of a water-producing facility that is a plaintiff in Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company v. Water Replenishment District of Southern California, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS 134239. any operator of a water-producing facility that is a party to litigation involving a

5 SB 620

water replenishment district filed before July 1, 2013, until after 2 the litigation is settled or all legal remedies have been exhausted. 3 SEC. 4. It is the intent of the Legislature that the amendment 4 of Section 60290 will provide the Water Replenishment District of Southern California with the ability to determine the appropriate 5 use of moneys held in its annual reserve fund. Public records that 7 are kept by the district of expenditures from the annual reserve 8 fund shall help the Legislature determine whether the flexibility provided by this act should be permanently extended beyond the 10 2019–20 fiscal year.